



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/616,108

07/08/2003

Mark Davis

1070P3823

1671

53483

7590

03/23/2009

KACVINSKY LLC
4500 BROOKTREE ROAD
SUITE 102
WEXFORD, PA 15090

EXAMINER

TAN, ALVIN H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/23/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

evergot@kacvinskylaw.com
sbartl@kacvinskylaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/616,108	Applicant(s) DAVIS, MARK	
	Examiner ALVIN H. TAN	Art Unit 2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALVIN H. TAN. (3) John F. Kacvinsky.

(2) Doug Luftman. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vander Veen et al (Pub. No. US 2003/0228863 A1) and Branson (U.S. Patent No. 6,819,304 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art rejection of claims 1, 10, and 21 were discussed. Applicant may propose amendments in a follow-up interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alvin H Tan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2173	
--	--